

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

policants:

David S. WELLS et al.

Title:

SUSTAINED-RELEASE FORMULATIONS FOR TREATING

CNS-MEDIATED DISORDERS

Appl. No.:

09/691,237

Examiner: L. Channavajjala

Filing Date:

10/19/2000

Art Unit: 1615

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Final Office Action dated August 12, 2002, concerning the above-referenced patent application.

REMARKS

Claims 1-34 are now pending in this application. Applicants submit the following remarks and respectfully request reconsideration of their application after final rejection because it is appears from the final Office Action that the examiner may have overlooked the revisions that applicants made to claims 1, 19, and 24 in the response dated May 20, 2002. In that amendment, applicants revised the claims to recite isovaleramide rather than a "pharmaceutically acceptable amide of isovaleric acid." The remarks in the examiner's last office action appear to be directed to the claims as they were before revision.

Rejection over Balandrin et al. and Rork et al.

Claims 1-5, 7-11, 14-20, 22-27, and 29-34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Rork et al., U.S. patent No. 5,582,838, in view of Balandrin et al., U.S. patent No. 5,506,268, and vice versa.

The examiner appears to have overlooked applicants' Α. prior amendments to Claims 1, 19, and 24

The examiner's stated reasons are taken verbatim from the first office